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#### Abstract

Uniformly convex univalent functions that introduced by Goodman, maps every circular arc contained in the open unit disk with center in it into a convex curve. On the other hand, a fully-convex harmonic function, maps each subdisk $|z|=r<1$ onto a convex curve. Here we synthesis these two ideas and introduce a family of univalent harmonic functions which are fully-convex and uniformly convex also. In the following we will mention some examples of this subclass and obtain a necessary and sufficient conditions and finally a coefficient condition is given as an aplication of some convolution results.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ be the open unit disk in complex plane. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the familier class of all analytic functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denotes the family of all functions $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) that are univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ and normalized with $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$.

A conformal function $f(z)$ is said to be starlike if every point of its range can be connected to the origin by a radial line that lies entirely in that region. The class of all starlike functions in $\mathcal{S}$ is shown by $\mathcal{S}^{*}[9]$ and $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\left\{z \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>0$. Starlikeness is a hereditary property for conformal mappings, so if $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$, and if $f$ maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto a domain that is starlike with respect to the origin, then the image of every subdisk $|z|<r<1$ is also starlike with respect to the origin.

[^1]An analytic function $f(z)$ is said to be convex if its range $f(\mathbb{D})$ is a convex set. It has shown that every convex function $f$ in $\mathcal{S}$ satisfy following analytic property

$$
\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\left\{1+z \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0
$$

The class of all convex functions in $\mathcal{S}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{K}$ [9].
The subclass of uniformly starlike functions, UST introduced by Goodman [6] and studied in analytic and geometric view.
Definition 1.1. [6] A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ is said to be uniformly starlike in $\mathbb{D}$ if it has the property that for every circular arc $\gamma$ contained in $\mathbb{D}$, with center $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$, the arc $f(\gamma)$ be starlike with respect to $f(\zeta)$. We denote the family of all uniformly starlike functions by UST and we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{U S T}=\left\{f(z) \in \mathcal{S}: \operatorname{Re} \frac{(z-\zeta) f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(\zeta)}>0,(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{D}^{2}\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It's clear that $\mathcal{U S T} \subset \mathcal{S}^{*}$ and every function in $\mathcal{U S T}$ maps each subdisk $\{|z-\zeta|<$ $\rho\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ onto a domain starlike with respect to $f(\zeta)$. Goodman [5] also defined the subclass of convex functions with this property that map each disk $\{|z-\zeta|<\rho\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ onto a convex domain and called it uniformly convex function and denoted the set of all these functions by $\mathcal{U C V}$ :
Definition 1.2. [5] A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}$ is said to be uniformly convex in $\mathbb{D}$ if it has the property that for every circular arc $\gamma$ contained in $\mathbb{D}$, with center $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$, the arc $f(\gamma)$ be a convex arc. We have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{U Q V}=\left\{f(z) \in \mathcal{S}: \operatorname{Re}\left(1+(z-\zeta) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \geq 0,(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{D}^{2}\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A summary of early works on uniformly starlike and uniformly convex functions can be found in [10].

The complex-valued function $f(x, y)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ is complex-valued harmonic function in $\mathbb{D}$ if $f$ is continuous and $u$ and $v$ are real harmonic in $\mathbb{D}$. We denote $H$ the family of continuous complex-valued functions which are harmonic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. In simply-connected domain $\mathbb{D}, f \in H$ has a canonical representation $f=h+\bar{g}$, where h and g are analytic in $\mathbb{D}[3,4]$. Then, $g$ and $h$ have expansions in Taylor series as $h(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ and $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$, so we may represent $f$ by a power series of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}+\overline{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Jacobian of a function $f=u+i v$ is $J_{f}(z)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}u_{x} & u_{y} \\ v_{x} & v_{y}\end{array}\right|=\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}-\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}$, and $f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)}$ is sense-preserving if $J_{f}(z)>0$. In 1984, Clunie and Sheil-Small
[3] investigated the class $S_{H}$, consisting of sense-preserving univalent harmonic functions $f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)}$ in simply-connected domain $\mathbb{D}$ which normalized by $f(0)=0$ and $f_{z}(0)=1$ with the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)}=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}+\overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subclass $\mathcal{S}_{H}^{0}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{H}$ includes all functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_{H}$ with $f_{\bar{z}}(0)=0$, so $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}_{H}^{0} \subset$ $\mathcal{S}_{H}$. Clunie and Sheil-Small also considered convex functions in $S_{H}$, denoted by $\mathcal{K}_{H}$. The hereditary property of convexity for conformal maps does not generalize to univalent harmonic mappings. If $f$ is a univalent harmonic map of $\mathbb{D}$ onto a convex domain, then the image of the disk $|z|<r$ is convex for each radius $r \leq \sqrt{2}-1$, but not necessarily for any radius in the interval $\sqrt{2}-1<r<1$. In fact, the function

$$
\begin{align*}
f(z) & =\operatorname{Re} \frac{z}{1-z}+i \operatorname{Im} \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}  \tag{1.6}\\
& =\frac{z-\frac{1}{2} z^{2}}{(1-z)^{2}}+\frac{-\frac{1}{2} \bar{z}^{2}}{(1-\bar{z})^{2}} \in \mathcal{K}_{H}
\end{align*}
$$

is a harmonic mapping of the disk $\mathbb{D}$ onto the half-plane $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} w>-\frac{1}{2}$, but the image of the disk $|z| \leq r$ fails to be convex for every $r$ in the interval $\sqrt{2}-1<r<1$ [4]. Thus we need a property to explain convexity of a map in a hereditary form in whole disk. We have following definition.

Definition 1.3. [2] A harmonic mapping $f$ with $f(0)=0$ of the unit disk is said to be fully-convex if it maps every circle $|z|=r<1$ in a one-to-one manner onto a convex curve.

For $f \in \mathcal{S}_{H}$, the family of fully-convex harmonic functions denotes by $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{K}_{H}$. In 1980 Mocanu gave a relation between fully-starlikeness and a differential operator of a non-analytic function [7]. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
D f=z f_{z}-\bar{z} f_{\bar{z}} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the differential operator and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{2} f=D(D f)=z z f_{z z}+\overline{z z} f_{\overline{z z}}+z f_{z}+\bar{z} f_{\bar{z}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.4. [7] Let $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{D})$ is a complex-valued function such that $f(0)=0$, $f(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}-\{0\}$, and $J_{f}(z)>0$ in $\mathbb{D}$ and $\operatorname{Re} \frac{D f(z)}{f(z)}>0$ then $f$ is univalent and fully-starlike in $\mathbb{D}$.
Lemma 1.5. Let $f \in C^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ is a complex-valued function such that $f(0)=0$, $f(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}-\{0\}$, and $J_{f}(z)>0$ in $\mathbb{D}$ and $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} \frac{D^{2} f(z)}{D f(z)}>0$ then $f$ is univalent and fully-convex in $\mathbb{D}$.

Since for a sense-preserving complex-valued function $f(z), D f \neq 0$, If $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{H}$ and satisfies condition such as $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} \frac{D f(z)}{f(z)}>0$ or $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} \frac{D^{2} f(z)}{D f(z)}>0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}-\{0\}$, then $f$ maps every circle $0<|z|=r<1$ onto a simple closed curve [7]. However, a fully-starlike mapping need not be univalent [2], we restrict our discussion to $\mathcal{S}_{H}$.

## 2. Definition and Examples

For a harmonic function $f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)} \in \mathcal{S}_{H}$, and $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$ we define the operator

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{D} f(z, \zeta) & =(z-\zeta) f_{z}(z)-\overline{(z-\zeta)} f_{\bar{z}}(z) \\
& =(z-\zeta) h^{\prime}(z)-\overline{(z-\zeta) g^{\prime}(z)} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

is harmonic also. For $\zeta=0$ the operator $\mathbf{D} f(z, 0)=z f_{z}-\bar{z} f_{\bar{z}}=z h^{\prime}-\overline{z g^{\prime}}=D f(z)$ is previous operator (1.7). Differentiating of the operator $\mathbf{D} f(z, \zeta)$ gives us

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{D}^{2} f(z, \zeta) & =\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{D} f(z, \zeta)) \\
& =\mathbf{D}\left((z-\zeta) h^{\prime}(z)-\overline{(z-\zeta) g^{\prime}(z)}\right) \\
& =(z-\zeta)^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(z)+\overline{(z-\zeta)^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(z)}+(z-\zeta) h^{\prime}(z) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\zeta=0$ the operator $\mathbf{D}^{2} f(z, 0)=z^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(z)+\overline{z^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(z)}+z h^{\prime}(z)+\overline{z g^{\prime}(z)}=D^{2} f(z)$ has described by Al-Amiri and Mocanu [1]. Similar to definition (1.1) we say that for an arbitrary function:

Definition 2.1. A function $f \in \mathcal{S}_{H}$ is said to be uniformly fully-convex harmonic function in $\mathbb{D}$ if it has the property that for every circular arc $\gamma$ contained in $\mathbb{D}$, with center $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$, the arc $f(\gamma)$ is convex in $f(\mathbb{D})$.

We denote the set of all uniformly fully-convex harmonic functions in $\mathbb{D}$ by $\mathcal{U F F}_{H}$. The following theorem gives analytic equivalency for above definition:

Theorem 2.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{H} . f \in \mathcal{U F \mathcal { F }}_{H}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} \frac{\boldsymbol{D}^{2} f(z, \zeta)}{\boldsymbol{D} f(z, \zeta)}>0,(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{D}^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $\gamma: \zeta+r e^{i \theta}$ with $\theta_{1} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{2}$ be a circular arc centered at $\zeta$ and contained in $\mathbb{D}$, then the image of $\gamma$ under $f$ is convex if the argument of the tangent to the image be a non-decreasing function of $\theta$, that is,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\arg \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\{f(z)-f(\zeta)\}\right) \geq 0
$$

Hence

$$
\operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\log \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\{f(z)-f(\zeta)\}\right) \geq 0
$$

But for a circular $\operatorname{arc} \gamma$, set $z=\zeta+r e^{i \theta}$, then $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} z=i(z-\zeta)$ and a brief computation will give us

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\{f(z)-f(\zeta)\}=i\left\{(z-\zeta) f_{z}(z)-\overline{(z-\zeta)} f_{\bar{z}}(z)\right\}=i \mathbf{D} f(z, \zeta)
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log i \mathbf{D} f(z, \zeta) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log i\left\{(z-\zeta) h^{\prime}(z)-\overline{(z-\zeta) g^{\prime}(z)}\right\} \\
& =\frac{i\left[h^{\prime}(z)+(z-\zeta) h^{\prime \prime}(z)\right]}{i \mathbf{D} f(z, \zeta)} i(z-\zeta) \\
& -\frac{\frac{i \overline{\left[g^{\prime}(z)+(z-\zeta) g^{\prime \prime}(z)\right]}}{i \mathbf{D} f(z, \zeta)} \overline{i(z-\zeta)}}{} \\
& =i \frac{\mathbf{D}^{2} f(z, \zeta)}{\mathbf{D} f(z, \zeta)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we must have

$$
\boldsymbol{\operatorname { I m }} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log i \mathbf{D} f(z, \zeta)=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} \frac{\mathbf{D}^{2} f(z, \zeta)}{\mathbf{D} f(z, \zeta)} \geq 0
$$

as we want.
It should be noted that $\frac{\mathbf{D}^{2} f(z, \zeta)}{\mathbf{D} f(z, \zeta)}(0,0)=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{U F} \mathcal{K}_{H}=\left\{f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{H}: \boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} \frac{\mathbf{D}^{2} f(z, \zeta)}{\mathbf{D} f(z, \zeta)}>0,(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{D}^{2}\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It's simple that one checks the rotations, $e^{-i \alpha} f\left(e^{i \alpha} z\right)$ for some real $\alpha$, are preserve the class $\cup \mathcal{F} \mathcal{K}_{H}$ and the transformation $\frac{1}{t} f(t z)$ preserves this class also, where $0<t \leq 1$. On the other hand, the class $\mathfrak{U \mathcal { F } \mathcal { K } _ { H } \text { includes all fully-convex functions }}$ and uniformly convex functions. With $g=0$ in (2.3), the analytic function $f(z) \in$ $\mathcal{U F F}_{H}$ by (2.1) and (2.2) satisfies condition

$$
\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} \frac{\mathbf{D}^{2} f(z, \zeta)}{\mathbf{D} f(z, \zeta)}=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} \frac{(z-\zeta)^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(z)+(z-\zeta) h^{\prime}(z)}{(z-\zeta) h^{\prime}(z)}=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\left(1+(z-\zeta) \frac{h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right) \geq 0
$$

where $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{D}^{2}$. Then
Corollary 2.3. If $f \in \mathcal{U C V}$ be an analytic function, then $f \in \mathcal{U F}_{H}$. So, UCV $\subset$ $\mathcal{U F K}_{H} \subset \mathcal{K}_{H}$. Goodman [5] shows the analytic function $f(z)=\frac{z}{1-A z} \in \mathcal{U C V}$ if and only if $|A| \leq \frac{1}{3}$, thus the convex function $f(z)=\frac{z}{1-z} \notin \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{K}_{H}$.

Example 2.1. For $|\beta|<1$ the affine mappings $f(z)=z+\overline{\beta z} \in \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{K}_{H}$, since

$$
\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} \frac{(z-\zeta)+\overline{(z-\zeta) \beta}}{(z-\zeta)-\overline{(z-\zeta) \beta}} \geq 0
$$

is equivalent to

$$
\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}((z-\zeta)+\overline{(z-\zeta) \beta})(\overline{(z-\zeta)}-(z-\zeta) \beta) \geq 0
$$

that is $\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right)|z-\zeta|^{2} \geq 0$.
Corollary 2.4. For $\zeta=0$ in (2.3), the harmonic function $f \in \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}_{H}$ will be univalent and fully-convex in $\mathbb{D}$ by Lemma 1.5. Thus it's clear any non fully-convex harmonic function is not in $\mathfrak{U F}_{H}$. The harmonic function $f(z)=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} \frac{z}{1-z}+$ $i \operatorname{Im} \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}$ isn't fully-convex ([4], p.46), then $f \notin \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{K}_{H}$.

In the following we will give a necessary and sufficient condition for that $f \in$ $\mathcal{U F} \mathcal{K}_{H}$. This condition is a generalization form of a theorem about fully-convex functions mentioned by Chuaqui et al. in [2], p139.
Theorem 2.5. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{H}, f \in \mathcal{U F \mathcal { F }}_{H}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|(z-\zeta) h^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} Q_{h} \geq  \tag{2.5}\\
& \left|(z-\zeta) g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { } e} Q_{g}+\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\left\{(z-\zeta)^{3}\left(h^{\prime \prime}(z) g^{\prime}(z)-h^{\prime}(z) g^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{h}=1+(z-\zeta) \frac{h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}$ and $Q_{g}=1+(z-\zeta) \frac{g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}$ for $(z, \zeta)$ in polydisk $\mathbb{D}^{2}$.
Proof: According to the definition, $f \in \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{K}_{H}$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} \frac{\mathbf{D}^{2} f(z, \zeta)}{\mathbf{D} f(z, \zeta)}>0$ for $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{D}^{2}$, if and only if $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}\left\{\mathbf{D}^{2} f(z, \zeta) \overline{\mathbf{D} f(z, \zeta)}\right\}>0$ for $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{D}^{2}$, then a simple calculation gives us (2.5).
Lemma 2.6. $f=h+\overline{\beta h} \in \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F X}_{H}$ if and only if $h \in \mathcal{U C V}$, where $|\beta|<1$.
Proof: Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_{H}$ and $g=\beta h$ with $|\beta|<1$, then $f \in \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F X}_{H}$ if and only if (2.5) holds. Since in this case, $h$ and $g$ satisfy equality $Q_{h}=Q_{g}$ so (2.5) holds if and only if $\left|(z-\zeta) h^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} Q_{h}\left(1-|\beta|^{2}\right) \geq 0$, or $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} Q_{h} \geq 0$ that shows $h \in \mathcal{U C V}$.

Example 2.2. The analytic function $h=z+A z^{2}$ is in $\mathcal{U C V}$ if and only if $|A| \leq \frac{1}{6}$ [5]. By Lemma 2.6 we get $f(z)=z+A z^{2}+\overline{\beta z+\beta A z^{2}} \in \underline{\mathcal{U F} \mathcal{K}_{H} \text { with }|\beta|<1 \text { and }}$ $|A| \leq \frac{1}{6}$. For example, let $A=\frac{1}{6}, \beta=-\frac{i}{2}$ then $f=z+\frac{1}{6} z^{2}-\overline{\frac{i}{2} z-\frac{i}{12} z^{2}} \in \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{K}_{H}$. In Figure 1, the disk $|z-0.7|<0.3$ is mapped under this uniformly fully-convex harmonic function to a convex elliptical shape with center $f(\zeta)=(0.78,0.39)$.


Figure 1: The image of $|z-0.7|<0.3$ under $f=z+\frac{1}{6} z^{2} \overline{-\frac{i}{2} z-\frac{i}{12} z^{2}} \in \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{K}_{H}$.

## 3. Convolution and a sufficient condition

The convolution or Hadamard product of two harmonic functions $f(z)$ and $F(z)$ with canonical representations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)}=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{b_{n}} \bar{z}^{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=H(z)+\overline{G(z)}=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{B_{n}} \bar{z}^{n} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f * F)(z)=(h * H)(z)+\overline{g * G(z)}=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} A_{n} z^{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{b_{n} B_{n}} \bar{z}^{n} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right half-plane mapping $\ell(z)=\frac{z}{1-z}$ acts as the convolution identity and the Koebe map $k(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}$ acts as derivative operation over functions convolution. We have some properties for convolution over analytic functions $f$ and $g$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
f * g=g * f & , & \alpha(f * g)=\alpha f * g \\
f * \ell=f & , & z f^{\prime}(z)=f * k(z)
\end{array}
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. For a given subset $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{A}$, its dual set $\mathcal{V}^{*}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}^{*}=\left\{g \in \mathcal{A}: \frac{f * g(z)}{z} \neq 0, \forall f \in \mathcal{V}, \forall z \in \mathbb{D}\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Nezhmetdinov (1997) proved that class $\mathcal{U C V}$ is dual set for certain family of functions from $\mathcal{A}$. He proved ([8], Theorem 2, p.43) that the class UXV is the dual set of a subset of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of functions $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{3}}\left[1-z-\frac{4 z}{(\alpha+i)^{2}}\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. He determined the uniform estimate $\left|a_{n}(\varphi)\right| \leq n(2 n-1)$ for the $n$-th Taylor coefficient of $\varphi(z)$ :

Lemma 3.1. [8] Let $G$ is all function $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form (3.5), then $\mathfrak{U C V}=G^{*}$ and $\left|a_{n}(\varphi)\right| \leq n(2 n-1)$ for all $n \geq 2$.

For obtaining a sufficient condition in class $\mathcal{U F}_{\mathcal{F}}^{H}$, we define the dual set of a harmonic function. Let $\mathcal{A}_{H}$ be the class of complex-valued harmonic functions $f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)}$ in simply connected domain $\mathbb{D}$ of the form (1.5) which are not necessarily sense-preserving univalent on $\mathbb{D}$. We define the dual set of a subset of $\mathcal{A}_{H}$ :
Definition 3.2. For a given subset $\mathcal{V}_{H} \subset \mathcal{A}_{H}$, the dual set $\mathcal{V}_{H}^{*}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{H}^{*}=\left\{F=H+\bar{G} \in \mathcal{A}_{H}: \frac{h * H}{z}+\frac{\overline{g * G}}{\bar{z}} \neq 0, \forall f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{V}_{H}, \forall z \in \mathbb{D}\right\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.3. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R},|w|=1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{H}=\left\{\varphi-\sigma \bar{\varphi}: \varphi(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{3}}\left(1-\frac{w-i \alpha}{2-w-i \alpha} z\right),\right. \\
&\left.\sigma=\frac{\overline{(1-w)(2-w-i \alpha)}}{(1-w)(2-w-i \alpha)}, z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\mathfrak{U F \mathcal { K }}_{H}=G_{H}^{*}$. Furthermore If $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(2 n-1)\left|a_{n}\right|+n(2 n-1)\left|b_{n}\right|<1-\left|b_{1}\right|$ then $f \in \mathcal{U F} \mathcal{K}_{H}$.

It's clear that the analytic function $\varphi$ is the same (3.5), but $\sigma$ with $|\sigma|=1$ isn't an arbitrary number and depend on both $w$ and $\alpha$ in $\varphi$.
Proof: Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{K}_{H}$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} \frac{(z-\zeta)^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(z)+\overline{(z-\zeta)^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(z)}+(z-\zeta) h^{\prime}(z)+\overline{(z-\zeta) g^{\prime}(z)}}{(z-\zeta) h^{\prime}(z)-\overline{(z-\zeta) g^{\prime}(z)}}>0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{D}^{2}$. For $\zeta=0$ and then $z=0$ we have $\frac{\mathbf{D}^{2} f(z, \zeta)}{\mathbf{D} f(z, \zeta)}=1$, hence the condition (3.7) may be write as

$$
\begin{aligned}
i \alpha\left((z-\zeta) h^{\prime}(z)-\overline{(z-\zeta) g^{\prime}(z)}\right) \neq & (z-\zeta)^{2} h^{\prime \prime}(z)+\overline{(z-\zeta)^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(z)} \\
& +(z-\zeta) h^{\prime}(z)+\overline{(z-\zeta) g^{\prime}(z)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. By the minimum principle for harmonic functions, it is sufficient to verify this condition for $|z|=|\zeta|$ and so, we may assume that $\zeta=w z$ with $|w|=1$, then from the definition of the dual set for harmonic functions (3.6), with straightforward calculation we conclude that $\frac{h * \varphi}{z}+\sigma \frac{\overline{g * \varphi}}{\bar{z}} \neq 0$, so the first assertion follows.

For obtaining coefficients condition, let $f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)}$ is of the form (3.1), and $\varphi(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_{n} z^{n}$ be the series expansion of analytic function $\varphi(z)$, then $\left|\phi_{n}\right| \leq n(2 n-1)$ for all $n \geq 2$, by Lemma 3.1. From previous part we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{h * \varphi}{z}+\sigma \frac{\overline{g * \varphi}}{\bar{z}}\right| & =\left|1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} \phi_{n} z^{n-1}+\sigma\left(b_{1}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \overline{b_{n} \phi_{n}} \bar{z}^{n-1}\right)\right| \\
& \geq\left|1+\sigma b_{1}\right|-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|\left|\phi_{n}\right||z|^{n-1}-|\sigma| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|b_{n}\right|\left|\phi_{n}\right||z|^{n-1} \\
& \geq\left|1+\sigma b_{1}\right|-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(2 n-1)\left|a_{n}\right|-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(2 n-1)\left|b_{n}\right| \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

when $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(2 n-1)\left|a_{n}\right|+n(2 n-1)\left|b_{n}\right|<1-\left|b_{1}\right|$.
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